

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. But 1450
Aboundaria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,955	955 10/24/2001		Trevor Harms	051373-0115	8428
26371	7590	01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY &		= : : : :	BLENMAN, AVALON		
SUITE 380		SIN AVENUE		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUK	EE, WI	53202-5308	2153		
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/039,955	HARMS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Avalon Blenman	2153					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	ugust 2005.						
	action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This office action is a <u>second action</u> in response to amendment and remarks filed August 22nd, 2005. Claims 1-21 are presented for further consideration, of which 1, 12, & 21 are independent claims. Claims 12, 19, & 20 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled or added. This office action is made **FINAL**.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments to claims 12, 19, and 21 have been entered. The objection to claims 12 & 19 in previous Office Action dated 02/23/2005 have been withdrawn.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code (pg. 2, line 5). Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2153

5. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-13, & 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (US Patent 5,938,725), hereinafter Hara, further in view of Haynes et al. (US Patent 6,442,591), hereinafter Haynes, and further in view of Creswell et al. (US Patent 6,564,264), hereinafter Creswell.

Page 3

- 6. In referencing to independent claims **1 & 21**, Hara discloses a method of (fig. 2) and system (fig. 1) for:
 - extracting (fig. 2, step S3) contact information (electronic mail address) from a number of messages stored in a memory (fig. 1, #10, storage apparatus) in a device (electronic mail transmitting/receiving device) [col. 5, lines 53-55, col. 6, lines 54-58, 64-67]; and

Hara does not explicitly disclose that the extracted contact information is entered into a contact list. Nonetheless, in analogous art, Haynes discloses a method (fig 4) of, and system for (fig. 1) for populating a contact list on a device by extracting (stripping) contact information from incoming messages (col. 4, lines 31-33). Haynes further discloses:

 entering (storing) the extracted (stripped) contact information (electronic mail address) into a contact list (fig. 2, #24, retrieved contact list) maintained by a program operating on the device [fig. 4, steps 44 & 52, col. 4, lines 31-53]. Art Unit: 2153

Given the teachings of Haynes, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system/method disclosed by Hara where the extracted contact information would be entered into a contact list. The motivation, as suggested by Hayes, would be so that the extracted contact information is conveniently accessible at any time to the user [col. 4, line 48-53].

Page 4

Both Hara and Haynes are silent as to if their devices are portable devices.

Nonetheless, in analogous art, Croswell discloses a method (fig. 2, step 201, 203, 215, & 217) of populating a contact list (fig. 1, #30, user's address book) by extracting contact information (address) from [returned] messages [col. 4, lines 4-7, 30-36].

Croswell further discloses a portable device (fig. 1, #15, wireless handset) for retrieving and transmitting e-mail messages [col. 3, lines 23-32].

Given the teachings of Croswell, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system/method disclosed by Hara in view of Haynes where the messages would stored in memory on a portable device and the extracted contact information would be maintained by the portable device. This would have been a desirable feature, because the user could access the extracted contact information via the portable device which will most likely accompany the user at all time, where the user can avoid having to travel back to the office for example to retrieve the contact extracted contact information from a stationary apparatus.

7. In referencing to claims 2 & 17, Hara discloses:

Art Unit: 2153

monitoring incoming messages (fig. 2, #10, receive electronic mail messages)
 and retrieving (fig. 2, step S3) contact information (electronic mail addresses)
 from incoming messages [col. 6, lines 64-67].

- 8. In referencing to claims **4 & 18**, Hara discloses extracting contact information includes:
 - scanning ("sequentially reading", col. 6, lines 54-56) a message database (fig. 1,
 #10, storage apparatus) and retrieving (extracting) contact information (electronic mail address) from messages contained in the database [col. 6, lines 64-67].
- 9. In referencing claim 5 Hara discloses:
 - the scanning ("sequentially reading") occurs since a certain time ["since the user inputs a key word", fig. 2, step S1, col. 6, lines 49-58].
- 10. In considering claim 6, Hara inherently discloses:
 - The certain time is the time of the last scanning ["since the user inputs a key word", fig. 2, step S1, col. 6, lines 49-58].

[The scanning takes place every time the user inputs a keyword to be searched (fig. 2, step S1). It is therefore inherent that the next scan will take place when the user enters the next keyword to be searched (after the last/previous scan)].

11. In referencing to claims **7 & 19**, Hara discloses:

Art Unit: 2153

providing a graphical user interface (GUI) (fig. 1, # 13, output apparatus) with contact information (electronic mail address) configured to receive a selection from a user of the device (fig. 2, #14, selection apparatus) [col. 6, lines 27-37].

- 12. In referencing to claims **8, 15, & 20**, Hara in view of Haynes do not explicitly disclose only entering contact information not already contained in the contact list. Nonetheless, Croswell discloses:
 - automatically entering in the contact list only contact information not already contained in the contact list [fig. 2, steps 215-217, col. 4, lines 30-36, col. 5, lines 18-20].
- 13. In referencing to claim **11**, Hara discloses:
 - filtering contact information to include in the contact list only email sender information [fig. 2, "sender: address 5", col. 4, lines 2-11, col. 6, lines 9-17].
- 14. In referencing to independent claim **12**, Hara discloses a user interface (fig. 1, #13, output apparatus, col. 6, lines 22-26). Hara further discloses:
 - means for extracting contact information (electronic email address) from a number of messages stored in a memory in a device [fig. 2, stepS3, col. 5, lines 53-55, col. 6, lines 54-58, 64-67;
 - means for presenting the extracted contact information (electronic mail address)
 on a device [fig. 1, #13, output apparatus, col. 6, lines 27-30];

Art Unit: 2153

Haynes discloses:

means for receiving (fig. 4, step 44) a number of selections of contact to be

stored in the contact list [col. 4, lines 31-53]; and

means for entering (fig. 4, step 52) the selected contact information into a contact

list (fig. 2, #24, retrieved contact list) maintained by a program operating on the

device col. 4, lines 31-53].

Although Hara in view of Haynes teach all of these features, neither explicitly

disclose a portable device. Nonetheless, as set forth above in reference to claim 1,

Creswell discloses a portable device [fig. 1, #15, wireless handset, col. 3, lines 23-32]

fore retrieving electronic messages.

15. In referencing to claim 13, Hara implicitly discloses

providing a checklist of extracted contact information (electronic mail address)

[col. 4, lines 2-11, col. 6, lines 33-37].

[If the user is presented with extracted contact information, in the form of a list

(plurality of transmission destination candidates) and the user is able to select

from the list of candidates, it implicitly a checklist]

16. In referencing to claim 16, Hara discloses a processing system (fig. 1)

comprising:

Art Unit: 2153

a central processing unit (CPU) [fig. 1, #12, col. 5, lines 47-52];

a storage device coupled to a processor stored there information for configuring
 a CPU to [inherent feature of any computer, fig. 1, #12, col. 5, lines 47-52]:

extract (fig. 2, step S3) contact information (electronic mail address) from a number of messages stored in a memory (fig. 1, # 10, storage apparatus) in a device [col. 5, lines 53-55, col. 6, lines 54-58, 64-67].

Haynes discloses a CPU configured to:

 enter (store) the extracted (stripped/retrieved) contact information (electronic mail address) into a contact list (fig. 2, #24, retrieved contact list) maintained by a program operating on a device [col. 4, lines 47-53].

Although Hara in view of Haynes teach all of these features, neither explicitly disclose a portable device. Nonetheless, as set forth above in reference to claim 1, Creswell discloses a portable device [fig. 1, #15, wireless handset, col. 3, lines 23-32] for retrieving electronic messages.

17. Claims 3, 9, 10 &14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara in view of Haynes and Creswell as applied to claim 1above, and further in view of Checkoway et al. (US Publication 2002/0133554), hereafter referred to as Checkoway.

Art Unit: 2153

18. In referencing to claim 3, Hara in view of Haynes and Creswell do not explicitly disclose an extracted Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) email address.

Nonetheless, in analogous art, Checkoway discloses a method of (step 572) of and system for (fig. 1) extracting contact information (sender e-mail) from incoming messages (¶9, ¶26). Checkoway further implicitly discloses that communication is via SMTP e-mail.

Page 9

contact information (sender email) includes a simple mail transfer protocol
 (SMTP) [fig. 2, #204, ¶19].

Given the teachings of Checkoway, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system/method disclosed by Hara in view of Haynes and Croswell, where the contact information extracted from the message would include a SMTP email address. The motivation, as suggested by Checkoway would be so that stored messages can later be retrieved using a Post Office Protocol (POP) and the email address extracted [¶17, ¶19, & ¶24].

- 19. In referencing claims **9 & 10**, Hara, in view of Haynes and Creswell do no explicitly teach filtering contact information to be included/excluded from a contact list according to a domain. Nonetheless, Checkoway discloses
 - filtering contact information to include or exclude contact information from emails received from certain domains ["@halibot.com", ¶52]

Art Unit: 2153

 filtering contact information to include or exclude contact information from received addresses from certain domains ["@halibot.com", ¶52]

20. In referencing to claim **14**, Hara in view of Haynes and Creswell do not explicitly teach that his wireless handset is a Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) phone.

Nonetheless, Checkoway discloses a means for presenting extracted information in the form of a WAP response [¶19].

Response to Arguments

- 21. Applicant's arguments filed August 22nd, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 22. Regarding in dependent claims 1, 12, & 21, Applicant argues (pg. 8) that a user of Hara's system is not concerned with automatically updating an address book or the accessibility of the address book. Examiner agrees. Hara's system automatically extracts the contact information from retrieved/incoming e-mail messages and presents this contact information to the user for selection (col. 6, lines 18-37). Hara does not explicitly disclose entering the contact information into a contact list. Nonetheless as set forth above in reference to claim 1, storing of the extracted contact information into a contact list maintained on the device is taught in analogous art by Haynes [fig. 4, steps 44 & 52, col. 4, lines 31-53]. Haynes indeed teaches accessing an electronic address book and populating a contact list [fig. 4, steps 44 & 52, col. 4, lines 31-53. While Hara

Art Unit: 2153

in view of Haynes teach substantial features of independent claims 1, 12, & 21, Hara in view of Haynes do not explicitly disclose a portable device. Nonetheless as set forth above in reference to claim 1, Creswell teaches a portable device for receiving electronic messages [col. 3, lines 23-32].

23. Examiner maintains that Hara, in view of Haynes, and further in view of Creswell read on the limitations as claims and argues, and thus maintains the present rejections.

Conclusion

24. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avalon Blenman whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2153

5864. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM (even date Mons. off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avalon Blenman December 3rd, 2006

> KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER